MGMT 004: Work, Power, and Inequality

Course Description & Objectives

From the immigrant shirtwaist sewers' strike of 1909 to the 2021 union declaration by engineers Google, MGMT 004 examines imbalances of power in the workplace and responses to perceived abuses of employer power. We investigate, via an exploration of government regulations and an examination of movements like #MeToo, how government and firm-level human capital policies shape workforce inequalities of race and gender. Throughout the course, we compare the United States with other countries to assess how national institutions influence both productivity and profitability (for firms) and fairness and stability (for workers).

Course Overview

This class emphasizes discussion, and students are required to participate synchronously during scheduled class meetings.

Sequence of Class Meetings and Topics

Class 1	Introduction and Course Overview
Class 2	Firms and Workers: Organization and Control
Class 3	Labor Markets and Labor Market Institutions
Class 4	Job Design
Class 5	Social Movements and Social Movements at Work
Class 6	What Unions Do and What Firms Do About Unions
Class 7	Other Employment Systems: Germany
Class 8	New Career Patterns and Alternative Work Arrangements
Class 9	Worker Movements Today
Class 10	Globalization at Work: Cross-border Supply Chains
Class 11	Race and Work
Class 12	Gender and Work
Class 13	The Scope of Work Demands
Class 14	Group Project Presentations

Course Content & Schedule

A note on readings: Students must complete the assigned readings (and view videos/ listen to podcasts) **before** class on the day shown in the schedule. Readings can be accessed via the "Assignments" section on Canvas, where you will find links to Harvard Business School cases for purchase and links to articles, library URLs (for journal articles), and PDFs. On Canvas, you will also find a list of study questions for each session to help guide your preparation for class. In several cases, I ask you to read only a few of the pages in an assigned article or chapter; these cases are clearly noted on Canvas and below.

Please note: This is a preliminary syllabus and is subject to change. It provides useful introductory detail and additional course insight as you prepare for the application process.

Introduction (no reading assigned)

Hirschman, A. O. (1970). Exit, Voice, and Loyalty: Responses to Decline in Firms, Organizations, and States. Harvard University Press. See Penn Library Course Reserves tab on Canvas.	8 pages
Anderson, Elizabeth (2017) "How Bosses are (Literally) Like Dictators". Vox.com, July 17, 2017. https://www.vox.com/the-big-idea/2017/7/17/15973478/bosses-dictators-workplace-rights-free-markets-unions	8 pages
Case: Kantor, J and D Streitfield (2015) "Inside Amazon: Wrestling Big Ideas in a Bruising Workplace" New York Times, August 15, 2015. http://www.nytimes.com/2015/08/16/technology/inside-amazon-wrestling-big-ideas-in-a-bruising-workplace.html	13 pages
OPTIONAL: Last Week Tonight with John Oliver (2019) "Warehouses". <i>Please note: contains crude language and puerile humor.</i> https://deadline.com/2019/07/amazon-john-oliver-warehouse-working-conditions-insulting-hbo-last-week-tonight-1202640925/	21 minutes
Hyclak, T, G. Johnes, and R. Thornton (2013) "Internal Labor Markets" Fundamentals of Labor Economics 2E (pp. 243-62; 268-273). Upper Cenage. See Penn Library Course Reserves tab on Canvas.	25 pages
Streeck, W. (2005) "The Sociology of Labor Markets and Trade Unions." In <i>The Handbook of Economic Sociology</i> (pp. 254-283). Princeton University Press. Read the sections "The Sociology of Labor Markets", beginning toward the end of page 254 and ending at the bottom of 256; and the part from the bottom of the first column on 261 ("The supply of free labor in a society —") to the bottom of page 262. http://www.ssoar.info/ssoar/bitstream/handle/document/19500/ssoar-2005-streeck-the-sociology of labor markets.pdf?sequence=1	6 pages
Hurst, Eric (2016) "Video Killed the Radio Star: How Games, Phones, and Other Tech Innovations Are Changing the Labor Force" <i>Chicago Booth Review</i> . http://review.chicagobooth.edu/economics/2016/article/video-killed-radio-star	3 pages
Case: Shih et al, (2012) "Jialiang Phone Home! A and B" Harvard Business School Publishing, 609080-PDF-ENG and 609081-PDF-ENG. See study.net	25 pages
Kanigel, R. (1997) "Prologue," from <i>The One Best Way: Frederick Winslow Taylor and the Enigma of Efficiency</i> . New York: Viking Press, pp. 1-10. See Penn Library Course Reserves tab on Canvas.	10 pages

Please note: This is a preliminary syllabus and is subject to change. It provides useful introductory detail and
additional course insight as you prepare for the application process.

Arielly, D. (2012) "Motivation" TedTalk, https://www.ted.com/talks/dan ariely what makes us feel good about our work? https://www.ted.com/talks/dan ariely what makes us feel good about our work?	20 minutes
"Digital Taylorism," (2015) The Economist. https://www.economist.com/news/business/21664190-modern-version-scientific-management-threatens-dehumanise-workplace-digital	2 pages
"Triangle Fire" (2011) Documentary. The American Experience. See link on Penn Library Course Reserves tab on Canvas.	50 minutes
Noe et al "Collective Bargaining and Labor Relations" pages 589-6XX See Penn Library Course Reserves tab on Canvas.	X pages
Streeck, W. (2005) "The Sociology of Labor Markets and Trade Unions" in <i>The Handbook of Economic Sociology</i> (from the bottom of 262 "The formation of trade unions was a historical response" through to p.263-266). Princeton University Press. http://www.ssoar.info/ssoar/bitstream/handle/document/19500/ssoar-2005-streeck-the-sociology of labor markets.pdf?sequence=1	4 pages
Rosenfeld, J. (2014) "Strikes" in What Unions No Longer Do. Harvard University Press. Pages 84-99. See Penn Library Course Reserves tab on Canvas.	15 pages
Dubner, Stephen (2107) "What are the Secrets of the German Economy – and Should We Steal Them?" <i>Freakonomics Radio</i> , October 11, 2107. Focus especially on the section from 18 minutes, 44 seconds to the end of the podcast. http://freakonomics.com/podcast/secrets-german-economy-steal/	56 minutes
Irwin, Neil (2017) "To Understand Rising Inequality, Consider Janitors at Two Top Companies, Then and Now" <i>The New York Times</i> , Sept. 3, 2017. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/09/03/upshot/to-understand-rising-inequality-consider-the-janitors-at-two-top-companies-then-and-now.html	5 pages
Audio Case: Planet Money Podcast (2015) "Hard Work Is Irrelevant" (Netflix) http://www.npr.org/sections/money/2015/08/28/435583328/episode-647-hard-work-is-irrelevant	20 minutes
Case: Finnegan, W. (2014) "Dignity: Fast Food Workers and a New Kind of Labor Activism" <i>The New Yorker</i> . September 15, 2014. http://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2014/09/15/dignity-4	12 pages

Please note: This is a preliminary syllabus and is subject to change. It provides useful introductory detail and
additional course insight as you prepare for the application process.

Kalleberg, A. L. (2009) "Precarious Work, Insecure Workers: Employment Relation Transition". <i>American Sociological Review</i> , 74(1), 1-22. READ ONLY PAGES 1-10 (s at the section "Challenges for the Sociology of Work" and pages 14-16 "Precarity Insecurity as Global Challenges". <i>See Penn Libraries Course Reserves on Canvas</i> .	top
Case: Quelch, J. and M. Rodriguez (2015) "Rana Plaza: Workplace Safety in Bangladesh" Harvard Business School 514034-PDF-ENG. See Study.net.	15 pages
Locke, R. (2013) "Can Global Brands Create Just Supply Chains?" <i>Boston Review</i> . http://bostonreview.net/forum/can-global-brands-create-just-supply-chains-richlocke	9 pages pard-
"Statistics that Hurt: Racial Discrimination Still Affects Minority Wages" (2014) Ke Insight. Based on the research of Fryer, Pager, and Spenkuch (2011) http://insight.kellogg.northwestern.edu/article/statistics_that_hurt	ellogg 4 pages
Dobbin, F. and A. Kalev, (2016) "Why Diversity Programs Fail." <i>Harvard Business Review</i> . https://hbr.org/2016/07/why-diversity-programs-fail	10 pages
Phillips, Dumas, and Rothbard (2018) "Diversity and Authenticity" <i>Harvard Busine Review</i> , March-April 2018. https://hbr.org/2018/03/diversity-and-authenticity	ess 4 pages
US Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, (undated) "EEO Is the Law". https://www.eeoc.gov/employers/upload/poster screen reader optimized.pdf	1 page
Case: Fowler, Susan J, (2017) "Reflecting on One Very, Very Strange Year at Uber Blog post, https://www.susanjfowler.com/blog/2017/2/19/reflecting-on-one-very-strange-year-at-uber	
Konnikova, M. (2014) "Lean Out: The Dangers for Women Who Negotiate" <i>The N Yorker</i> . http://www.newyorker.com/science/maria-konnikova/lean-out-the-dangers-women-who-negotiate"	
Kliff, Sarah (2107) "The Truth About the Gender Wage Gap" <i>Vox.com</i> posted Aug 8, 2017. https://www.vox.com/2016/8/1/12108126/gender-wage-gap-explained	
Johnson and Smith (2018) "Mentoring Women Is Not About Trying to 'Rescue' The Harvard Business Review Web.	nem" 2 pages
OPTIONAL Podcast: "The True Story of the Gender Pay Gap", Freakonomics Radio http://freakonomics.com/podcast/the-true-story-of-the-gender-pay-gap-a-new-freakonomics-radio-podcast/ (NB: This podcast covers much of the same material the Kliff article from Vox.)	

Please note: This is a preliminary syllabus and is subject to change. It provides useful introductory detail and additional course insight as you prepare for the application process.

Perlow, L. and J. Porter (2009) "Making Time Off Predictable -- and Required" *Harvard* 7 pages *Business Review*. https://hbr.org/2009/10/making-time-off-predictable-and-required

Michel, A. (2012). "Transcending Socialization: A nine-year ethnography of the body's 4 pages role in organizational control and knowledge workers' transformation".

Administrative Science Quarterly. ONLY section "Organizational Controls", pages 336-340, is required! See Penn Libraries Course Reserve Tab.

Assessments & Grading

Student assessments are grouped in the following categories:

		% of
		total
1.	Individual paper	25
2.	Class preparation and participation, including daily Canvas 'quizzes' (top 9 of 12 grades)	40
3.	Group Project Presentation	10
4.	Group Project Paper	25

In the interest of fairness, all students will be given the same assessment opportunities. There will be no opportunities to earn credit beyond of what is outlined in this syllabus.

Group Project: Presentation or Video (10%) and Paper (25%)

For detailed information on the expected content and format of the group projects, as well as a timetable for deliverables and a grading rubric, please see the prompt posted on Canvas.

- Teams must consist of *exactly four students*.
- Groups will receive one grade for the project. If groups are experiencing problems working together, members should inform me well in advance of any due dates. In cases of egregious free rider problems, I will consider splitting a group and requiring one or more individuals to do a project to a solo project. For this to be considered, I must be notified at least *nine calendar days* before the final deadline.

Project timetable and deliverables:

Project team composition must be input on Canvas (click on "People" then the tab for "Project Groups"). You may input your own groups, but note that groups MUST contain **exactly members.** Members of incomplete groups may be split up and reassigned. Please email me your topic. No two groups will be allowed to cover the same topic. Students who do not self-sort into groups will be assigned.

Project teams submit a 300-500 word proposal that names the organization you plan to study, outlines the main focus of your analysis (your research question), and details how you plan to gather data.

Project presentations: Upload your files to Canvas *at least two hours* before class, and have a backup ready to share via Zoom.

Students must submit the final group project reports (pdf form) by 11 PM EST.

Penalties for late group papers:

 For each additional day (or fraction thereof submitted past the deadline, three points (of 100) will be deducted from the group paper grade.

Individual Writing Assignment (25%)

For detailed information on expected content and format for the individual writing assignment, please see the prompts posted on Canvas under "Assignments".

Late paper policy: students who submit their papers late will be docked one point for the first day (or fraction thereof), one more point for the second day, and two additional points each additional day. This late policy will be STRICTLY ENFORCED. Outside of the most exigent circumstances, last minute requests for extensions will not be granted.

Class Preparation, Attendance, Homework, and in Class Participation (40%)

A. Showing Up

- This class emphasizes synchronous discussion. To ensure you get credit for attending class, please log into the meeting on time and turn on your video.
- Students will receive a preparation and participation grades for all class meetings (other than the first and the final class). Should students be unable to attend synchronously for any reason, including last-minute technology failures, they will earn no credit for preparation and participation for that class. The lowest THREE participation grades will be dropped (of twelve). Therefore, students can miss a maximum of **three** classes without penalty.
- Attendance at fewer than half the sessions may result in course failure regardless of performance on other class assessments.

B. "Quizzes" and Homework

- At the beginning of each session, students will be asked to answer a couple of short questions based on the readings assigned for the day AND on the readings and discussion from the previous class. Via the "Quiz" feature on Canvas, students will be given 10-15 minutes to answers the questions. Please log in on time so that you have the full opportunity to do so.
- Homework

Please note: This is a preliminary syllabus and is subject to change. It provides useful introductory detail and additional course insight as you prepare for the application process.

- "About Me" slide: Please complete and upload by the first day of class, or (if you add the class late) when you register. A template is available on Canvas.
- Other homework may be assigned in advance of certain class days. Failure to complete assigned homework could result in lost points in this assessment category.

C. Speaking in Class

- Because discussion is a substantial part of this course, student participation is essential, both for your own learning and for that of the other students. Students are expected to come to class having read and thought about the assigned material. Cold calls are fair game.
- Students must contribute *memorably and effectively* to earn credit in this category. Absences will not factor into the tabulation of scores for this category (i.e., the speaking score will be a sum of points accumulated, not an average based on the number of times a student attends class).
- The best comments in class advance our discussion, often by responding (politely) to other students' arguments, drawing support from course readings, outside sources, and real-world experience, or making connections between MGMT 104 and other courses.
- High-quality participation also involves knowing when to speak and when to listen or allow others
 to speak. Vague, tangential, or repetitive comments, along with remarks that are disrespectful of other
 students, will result in lost credit.

D. Calculation of Preparation and Participation Grades

- Written responses should demonstrate that students have mastered the content from the previous session, and read the day's assignments carefully. In addition, students earn credit for speaking memorably and effectively in class-wide discussions.
- After each class session, I will record preparation and participation grades, based on the 'quizzes' at the beginning of class `and student contributions during the synchronous discussion.
- If we work on a small group activity that takes a substantial part of the class and requires students to upload their work, points may be awarded for that participation.